

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

In re: REMICADE ANTITRUST	)	Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-04326-KSM
LITIGATION.	)	
-----	)	<b><u>CLASS ACTION</u></b>
This Document Relates to:	)	
	)	
INDIRECT PURCHASER	)	
ACTIONS.	)	
_____	)	

**MOTION PURSUANT TO F.R.A.P. 4(a)(5)(A) FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO  
APPEAL**

I move this Court for an extension of time to appeal. This Court entered an Order at #227 that contained findings and case law. I verily believed that this Court would enter a final judgment as described in Rule 58 for the reasons in my Reply that I filed at #232. As explained in 232, the Supreme Court said that this Rule 58 stuff is not meant to deny persons the right to appeal, which is what is happening because #227 is ambiguous in that it includes case law and findings.

To the extent I was wrong, I would like an extension of time to appeal. Ideally, this Court should resolve all doubt and enter a final judgment and in the alternative grant me an extension. Otherwise if the appeals court finds I am right about Rule 58, even with an extension, it will remand for entry of a final Order that will delay everyone. I am not seeking to delay this and the most efficient process is to grant me an extension and, in case I am right, also issue the final judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

*J Gomez*

---

Jose Gomez  
Apartado 11041-1000  
San Juan SJ 10102  
COSTA RICA  
[j.gomez@miserablemail.com](mailto:j.gomez@miserablemail.com)

